

**ACROPOLIS: Annual Progress Report**

*As a guideline, we recommend to limit the annual report to 8 pages at most.*

# General Information

|  |
| --- |
| Project information |
| Name of coordinator:  |   |
| University of the coordinator: |  |
| Spokesperson//Representative (if different than coordinator): |  |
| University of the spokesperson: |  |
| Name of the ACROPOLIS consortium: |  |
| Reporting period: |  |

# Progress towards the specific objectives

|  |
| --- |
| Explain to what extent the project is progressing towards the specific objective: ***The Belgian government is enabled to better orient and execute its development cooperation policy thanks to the uptake of policy supporting research*** Is progress as expected? Major issues? Any unexpected positive (e.g. spin-offs) or negative effects? Has real uptake of policy supporting research taken place by the Belgian government (or are there early sign of uptake taking place?)? Multiplicator effects? What have been the effects of the programme (beyond the deliverables discussed under intermediate results)? |
|  |

# Progress towards the intermediate results

|  |
| --- |
| **Intermediate result 1:** Please **update** the **indicators** of intermediate result 1: *The researchers produce research results which are relevant for the federal government working in development cooperation*. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Objectively Verifiable Indicators (OVI)[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Baseline value** | **Target value** | **Update year 1** | **Update year 2** |
| Number of working papers |   |   |  |  |
| Number of policy notes and briefs |  |  |  |  |
| Number of A1-papers |  |  |  |  |
| Number of publicised tools or contribution to tools/screening sheets/… |  |  |  |  |
| Database on completed surveys |  |  |  |  |
| Number of country based researches as part of support facility executed in partner countries  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of case studies executed in partner countries |  |  |  |  |
| Involvement of actors residing in the partner countries |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Explain to what extent the project is progressing towards intermediate result 1: *The researchers produce research results which are relevant for the federal government working in development cooperation*. Is progress as expected? Major issues? Hindering/Enabling factors? Important changes to the project compared to the project that was included in the proposal?  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Intermediate result 2:** Please **update** the **indicators** of intermediate result 2: *The research output is spread in an active and appropriate way within the Belgian government service for development cooperation, as well as among the other actors of Belgian development cooperation (BTC, BIO, ANGS, etc.)* |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Objectively Verifiable Indicators (OVI)** | **Baseline value** | **Target value** | **Update year 1** | **Update year 2** |
| Number of trainings and seminars for personnel of DGD |   |   |  |  |
| Number of public seminars on which other actors of the Belgian development cooperation than DGD members are invited |  |  |  |  |
| Number of participants at trainings and seminars at DGD |  |  |  |  |
| Number of participants at public seminars with larger audience than DGD |  |  |  |  |
| Number of collaborations between ACROPOLIS-groups and Belgian development actors (BTC, NGOs, Institutional Actors, Platforms) |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Explain to what extent the project is progressing towards intermediate result 2: *The research output is spread in an active and appropriate way within the Belgian government service for development cooperation, as well as among the other actors of Belgian development cooperation (BTC, BIO, ANGS, etc.).* Is progress as expected? For both types of actors (DGD and other actors)? Major issues? Hindering/Enabling factors? Important changes to the project compared to the project that was included in the proposal?  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Intermediate result 3:** Please **update** the **indicators** of intermediate result 3: *The federal government is directly supported by specific expertise and service delivery* |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Objectively Verifiable Indicators (OVI)** | **Baseline value** | **Target value** | **Update year 1** | **Update year 2** |
| Number of contributions to thematic or country notes of DGD |   |   |  |  |
| Number of contributions to Belgian position in international debates/conferences |  |  |  |  |
| Implementation plan (component 1: Guiding Principles) |  |  |  |  |
| Implementation plan (component 2: Indicators) |  |  |  |  |
| Implementation plan (component 3: Implementation process) |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Explain to what extent the project is progressing towards intermediate result 3: *The federal government is directly supported by specific expertise and service delivery.* Is progress as expected? Major issues? Hindering/Enabling factors? Important changes to the project compared to the project that was included in the proposal?  |
|  |

# Update Risk management and operational plan

|  |
| --- |
| **Risk management**: Please mention any new risks that have risen, analyse them and formulate a response to those risks. Please comment on the follow-up on risks identified in the project proposal and comment on how the project has responded to the risk (successful or not).  |
|  |
| **Operational planning**: If important changes in the operational plan have taken place or are planned, please include an updated version in the annex of this report. **If** needed, you can use the textbox below to explain the changes.  |
|  |

# Synergy and complementarity

|  |
| --- |
| **Synergy and complementarity**: Please give an update on the foreseen collaborations from the project proposal (with other actors of the Belgian development cooperation, with actors in the partner countries, with other VLIR-UOS and ARES programmes like Global Minds, institutional cooperation…). If new synergies have come up, please also present them.  |
|  |

# Other

|  |
| --- |
| Are there any **other aspects** that you would like to mention? For example on gender, environment, D4D, private sector…? Or in relationship with the performance scoring criteria (sustainability, relevance, etc.)? Updates of the list of experts? Important changes in context? |
|  |

1. Only indicators that were presented in your proposal should be followed up. Indicators that are not used in your group can be left blanc. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)